

**Translation**

**PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

**PCT**

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY**  
(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>11475p</b>	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No. <b>PCT/DE2004/000815</b>	International filing date (day/month/year) <b>19.04.2004</b>	Priority date (day/month/year) <b>17.04.2003</b>
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC		
Applicant <b>X-FAB SEMICONDUCTOR FOUNDRIES AG</b>		

<p>1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of <u>8</u> sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p>3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:</p> <p>a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of <u>4</u> sheets, as follows:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.</p> <p>b. <input type="checkbox"/> (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) _____, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).</p>																									
<p>4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. I</td> <td>Basis of the report</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. II</td> <td>Priority</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. III</td> <td>Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. IV</td> <td>Lack of unity of invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. V</td> <td>Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VI</td> <td>Certain documents cited</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VII</td> <td>Certain defects in the international application</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VIII</td> <td>Certain observations on the international application</td> </tr> </table>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the report																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority																							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention																							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application																							

Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion of this report
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2004/000815

Box No. I

Basis of the report

1. With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
- ☐ This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
- ☐ international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))
- ☐ publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)
- ☐ international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on *(replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report)*:
- ☐ the international application as originally filed/furnished
- ☒ the description:
- pages 1-9 \_\_\_\_\_ as originally filed/furnished
- pages\* \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_
- pages\* \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_
- ☒ the claims:
- nos. \_\_\_\_\_ as originally filed/furnished
- nos.\* \_\_\_\_\_ as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
- nos.\* 1-19 \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on 18.05.2005 with
- nos.\* \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on telefax
- ☒ the drawings:
- sheets 1/2, 2/2 \_\_\_\_\_ as originally filed/furnished
- sheets\* \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_
- sheets\* \_\_\_\_\_ received by this Authority on \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3. ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
- ☐ the description, pages \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ the claims, nos. \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ the sequence listing (*specify*): \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (*specify*): \_\_\_\_\_
4. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
- ☐ the description, pages \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ the claims, nos. \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ the sequence listing (*specify*): \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (*specify*): \_\_\_\_\_

\* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2004/000815

Box No. III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

☐ the entire international application

☒ claims Nos. 1-9

because:

☐ the said international application, or the said claims Nos. \_\_\_\_\_  
relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (*specify*):

☒ the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. 1-9  
are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):

**see supplemental sheet**

☐ the claims, or said claims Nos. \_\_\_\_\_ are so inadequately supported  
by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.

☐ no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. \_\_\_\_\_

☐ the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:

the written form

☐ has not been furnished

☐ does not comply with the standard

the computer readable form

☐ has not been furnished

☐ does not comply with the standard

☐ the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.

☐ See Supplemental Box for further details.

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2004/000815

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	10-19	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	10-19	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	10-19	YES
	Claims		NO
2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)			
1. This report makes reference to the following available prior art documents:			
D1: US-B1-6 306 755 (ZHENG TAMMY) 23 October 2001 (2001-10-23)			
D2: US 2002/088769 A1 (ANTAKI ROBERT ET AL) 11 July 2002 (2002-07-11)			
D3: US-B1-6 403 389 (DUBEY ABHAY ET AL) 11 June 2002 (2002-06-11)			
2. The subject matter of claim 10 appears to meet the PCT requirements with respect to novelty (PCT Article 33(2)) and inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)):			
2.1 D1 (see the abstract) describes the simultaneous etching of functional structures and test structures that have the same dimensions, for the purpose of determining the etching endpoint. The features of claim 10, namely that			
- the test structure consists of a row of islands surrounded by trenches of			

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;  
citations and explanations supporting such statement

various widths, and that

- the etching endpoint is determined by verifying the electrical throughput, are not disclosed in D1.

2.2 D2 (see figures 1a-2b, and paragraphs 5 and 10) discloses a method for evaluating etching processes by means of a row of holes of increasing width. This document discloses neither the etching of test structures at the same time as functional structures nor the determination of etching endpoints by measuring the electrical throughput.

2.3 D3 (see figure 17b and the corresponding text) discloses resistance measurements in test structures for the purpose of determining etching endpoints. This document neither mentions nor renders obvious the simultaneous etching of functional structures and a row of islands surrounded by trenches of different widths.

2.4 Even if a person skilled in the art combined D1, D2 and D3, he would not arrive at the subject matter of claim 10, since none of these documents renders obvious the concept of the simultaneous etching of functional structures and a row of islands surrounded by trenches of different widths.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/DE2004/000815

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;  
citations and explanations supporting such statement

3. Consequently, the subject matter of claims 11-19, which are dependent on claim 10, is likewise regarded as novel (PCT Article 33(2)) and inventive (PCT Article 33(3)).

**Supplemental Box**

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of:

**Box III**

1. Claim 1 lacks clarity (PCT Article 6) because it defines an entirely abstract product that is not reproducible, namely a "test structure". The descriptive features of the claim, to the extent that they can even be understood, lead the reader to imagine a method for verifying etchings of separation trenches wherein a test geometry is also etched, but it is entirely unclear what parts thereof should be regarded as the claimed, static product "test structure", and at what point during the process. Claim 1 creates legal uncertainty with respect to the scope of protection.
2. Claims 2 and 3 do not contain all of the essential features that are necessary for carrying out the invention (see points 2.1 and 2.2). Since these claims and claim 10 were drafted as separate, independent claims but actually appear to refer to one and the same subject matter and to differ from each other only by different definitions of the subject matter for which protection is sought, an examination of claims 2 and 3, which lack clarity, with respect to novelty and inventive step would not appear to be meaningful.

## Supplemental Box

- 2.1 Claim 2 lacks clarity (PCT Article 6) because the meaning of a whole row of test islands having the same dimensions and geometry is incomprehensible. The claim appears to lack essential features that detail the dimensions of the test islands. It also lacks essential features that describe the insulation trench etchings and, in particular, features that establish a relationship between said etchings and the test islands and their dimensions.
3. It is not clear what the feature in claim 3 *"electrical throughput is measured, in particular successively in each case, between a semiconductor island (A,B) and a disc area...surrounding...the semiconductor island"* describes, namely whether all of the areas are measured successively, or whether several measurements are carried out successively in a particular area. In any case, it is clear from the application that both would be essential features. Claim 3 does not appear to contain all of the essential features necessary for carrying out the invention.